Levosimendan Relaxes Pulmonary Arteries and Veins in Precision-Cut Lung Slices - The Role of K_{ATP}-Channels, cAMP and cGMP

Annette D. Rieg^{1,2}*, Rolf Rossaint², Eva Verjans^{1,3}, Nina A. Maihöfer¹, Stefan Uhlig¹, Christian Martin¹

1 Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Medical Faculty Aachen, Rhenish Westphalian Technical University, Aachen, Germany, 2 Department of Anesthesiology, Medical Faculty Aachen, Rhenish Westphalian Technical University, Aachen, Germany, 3 Department of Pediatrics, Medical Faculty Aachen, Rhenish Westphalian Technical University, Aachen, Germany

Abstract

Introduction: Levosimendan is approved for left heart failure and is also used in right heart failure to reduce right ventricular afterload. Despite the fact that pulmonary arteries (PAs) and pulmonary veins (PVs) contribute to cardiac load, their responses to levosimendan are largely unknown.

Materials and Methods: Levosimendan-induced vasorelaxation of PAs and PVs was studied in precision-cut lung slices from guinea pigs by videomicroscopy; baseline luminal area was defined as 100%. Intracellular cAMP- and cGMP-levels were measured by ELISA and NO end products were determined by the Griess reaction.

Results: Levosimendan relaxed control PVs (116%) and those pre-constricted with an endothelin_A-receptor agonist (119%). PAs were only relaxed if pre-constricted (115%). Inhibition of K_{ATP} -channels (glibenclamide), adenyl cyclase (SQ 22536) and protein kinase G (KT 5823) largely attenuated the levosimendan-induced relaxation in control PVs, as well as in pre-constricted PAs and PVs. Inhibition of BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channels (iberiotoxin) and K_v -channels (4-aminopyridine) only contributed to the relaxant effect of levosimendan in pre-constricted PAs. In both PAs and PVs, levosimendan increased intracellular cAMP-and cGMP-levels, whereas NO end products remained unchanged. Notably, basal NO-levels were higher in PVs. The K_{ATP} -channel activator levcromakalim relaxed PAs dependent on cAMP/PKA/PKG and increased cAMP-levels in PAs.

Discussion: Levosimendan initiates complex and divergent signaling pathways in PAs and PVs. Levosimendan relaxes PAs and PVs primarily via K_{ATP} -channels and cAMP/cGMP; in PAs, BK_{Ca}^{2+} - and K_{v} -channels are also involved. Our findings with levcromakalim do further suggest that in PAs the activation of K_{ATP} -channels leads to the production of cAMP/PKA/PKG. In conclusion, these results suggest that levosimendan might reduce right ventricular afterload by relaxation of PAs as well as pulmonary hydrostatic pressure and pulmonary edema by relaxation of PVs.

Citation: Rieg AD, Rossaint R, Verjans E, Maihöfer NA, Uhlig S, et al. (2013) Levosimendan Relaxes Pulmonary Arteries and Veins in Precision-Cut Lung Slices - The Role of K_{ATP}-Channels, cAMP and cGMP. PLoS ONE 8(6): e66195. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195

Editor: Tim Lahm, Indiana University, United States of America

Received November 4, 2012; Accepted May 5, 2013; Published June 18, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Rieg et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the START-program of the Medical Faculty Aachen, RWTH Aachen. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

The Ca²⁺-sensitizer levosimendan reduces mortality in acute heart failure [1]. Levosimendan is also used to treat right heart failure and secondary pulmonary hypertension (PH) [2], since several studies suggested that it decreases right ventricular afterload and mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) [3,4]. However, other studies failed to observe such effects [5,6] and in two patients with idiopathic PH levosimendan even elevated mPAP [7].

These conflicting data raise the possibility that the effects of levosimendan on right ventricular afterload are explained by improved left ventricular contractility rather than by reduced pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). Except for one study in feline lung lobes [3], the relaxant properties of levosimendan were studied in systemic vessels only [8–11]. There, in extrapulmonary

vessels, opening of ATP-activated potassium channels (KATPchannels) [12,13] was identified as a key mechanism in levosimendan-induced relaxation, but Ca²⁺-desensitizing [8] and opening of large conductance Ca²⁺-activated potassium channels (BK_{Ca}²⁺-channels), as well as opening of voltage- gated potassium channels (Kv-channels) [9,10] were also implicated. However, systemic and pulmonary vessels show remarkable dissimilarities, as is illustrated by their divergent responses to hypoxia, hypercapnia or acidosis [14] and the regulation of endothelial permeability [15]. Furthermore, although differences between PAs and PVs are highly relevant to left- and right-sided heart failure, it is completely unknown whether levosimendan acts differently in both vascular systems which are known for their remarkably distinct behaviour [16,17]. Notably, PVs contribute up to 40% to PVR [18] and their relaxation would be a useful intervention to reduce pulmonary edema, left ventricular volume-overload and secondary PH.

^{*} E-mail: arieg@ukaachen.de



Figure 1. Vasodilating effects of levosimendan (levo) and isoproterenol in pulmonary vessels. A) BP0104 in PAs and PVs: (\bigcirc) PA: 100 nM BP0104 (n = 5); (\blacksquare) PV: 1 nM BP0104 (n = 5). The dashed line indicates the end of the pre-treatment and the start of the measurements. **B)** Levosimendan prevents epinephrine-induced contraction in PAs: (\bigcirc) epinephrine 1 μ M (n = 5), (\blacksquare) levo 100 μ M, epinephrine 1 μ M (n = 7); **C**) Levosimendan in PAs/PVs without pre-constriction: (\bigcirc) PAs (n = 10); (\blacksquare PVs (n = 11); **D**) Levosimendan in pre-constricted PAs/PVs: (\bigcirc) PAs (n = 9); (\blacksquare) PVs (n = 7); **E**) Isoproterenol in PAs/PVs without pre-constriction: (\bigcirc) PAs (n = 5) (\blacksquare PVs (n = 5) **F**) Isoproterenol in pre-constricted PAs/PVs: (\bigoplus) PAs (n = 9); (\blacksquare) PVs (n = 3); (\blacksquare) PVs (n = 4). **A**) Statistics was performed by a linear mixed model analysis. **C**-**D**) The thick solid concentration-response curve share the same EC₅₀ value of 5 μ M. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001.

To clarify whether, where and how levosimendan relaxes pulmonary vessels, we analysed its effects on PAs and PVs in precision-cut lung slices (PCLS), a novel method in pulmonary vascular pharmacology [16]. We chose guinea pigs (GPs), because several studies have indicated that GPs are the best approximation to human lungs when it comes to pulmonary smooth muscle pharmacology [19,20]. We addressed various signaling mechanisms, e.g. K_{ATP} , BK_{Ca}^{2+} and K_v -channels as well as cAMP- and NO-dependent pathways and report that levosimendan relaxed PAs and PVs via common (K_{ATP} -channels, cAMP/cGMP) and different (BK_{Ca}^{2+} -and K_v -channels in PAs) mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Guinea Pigs (GPs)

Female Dunkin Hartley GPs (400 ± 50 g; 6–8 weeks old) were obtained from Charles River (Sulzfeld, Germany). All animal care and experimental procedures were performed according to the rules of the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament. They were approved by the Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz Nordrhein-Westfalen (approval-ID: 8.87–51.05.20.10.245).

Table 1. Overview of all used inhibitors.						
Innibitor	larget	IC ₅₀	Used dosage			
glibenclamide	K _{ATP} -channels	20–200 nM	10 µM			
iberiotoxin	BK _{Ca} ²⁺ -channels	10 nM	100 nM			
4-aminopyridine	K _v -channels	0.3–1.1 mM	5 mM			
SQ 22536	adenyl cyclase	1.4–200 μM	100 μM			
KT 5720	РКА	60 nM	1 μM			
L-NAME	NOS	25 µM	100 μM			
ODQ	guanylyl cyclase	20 nM	1 μM			
KT 5823	PKG	0.23 μM	2 μΜ			

In general, we expect complete inhibition of the target at concentrations about 10 times above the IC_{50} value [26,44].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.t001

PCLS

Т

PCLS (GP; n = 46) were prepared as described before [16,19]. Briefly, intraperitoneal anaesthesia was performed with 95 mg kg⁻¹ pentobarbital (Narcoren; Garbsen, Germany) and verified by missing reflexes. Afterwards, the abdomen was opened and the GP exsanguinated. Thereafter, the trachea was cannulated and the diaphragm opened. The lungs were filled with 28–30 ml of 1.5% low melting point agarose (containing 1 μ M isoproterenol) as far as a slight resistance develops. The lobes were removed; tissue cores were prepared and cut into 300 μ m thick slices with a Krumdieck tissue slicer (Alabama, Munford, AL, USA). PCLS were incubated at 37°C and the medium was changed several times in order to wash out the agarose. PCLS are known to be at least 72 h viable [19,21].

Vessel Preparation and Measurement of NO, cAMP and cGMP

For analysis of cAMP/cGMP-production, PAs and PVs were separated out of tissue cores. In contrast, the slices were cut into tissue, containing either the PA or the PV to determine NO end products. Two such tissue pieces together were incubated over 30 minutes with levosimendan (100 μ M); controls remained untreated. Supernatants were collected. NO was measured using a NO-kit based on the Griess reaction and nitrite was detected at 550 nM (GENIOS, Tecan, Switzerland).

To measure intracellular cAMP/cGMP, PAs or PVs from tissue cores were cannulated by a plastic catheter (22 gauges), isolated, flushed with levosimendan (100 μ M) or levcromakalim (100 μ M) and incubated for 30 minutes. Some PAs were also pre-treated with glibenclamide (10 μ M for 1 h). Thereafter, vessels were frozen by liquid nitrogen. PAs and PVs were distinguished by their localization, as explained below. Intracellular cAMP/cGMP was quantified with ELISA-kits following the manufacturer's protocol. For stabilization, all samples and standards were acetylated. To measure cAMP all samples were diluted 1:2 with 0.1 M HCL. ELISAs were evaluated at 405 nM (GENIOS, Tecan, Switzerland).

Vessel Size, Identification of the Vessels and Histology

GPs' pulmonary vessels derived from a central part of the lung and their internal diameter ranged from 500 to 800 μ M. PAs and PVs were identified by their anatomical landmarks. PAs accompany the airways and PVs lie aside. In PCLS, this was confirmed with haematoxylin-eosin staining, where PAs show a wrinkled inner lining and a thick media [16,17].



Figure 2. Effect of K+-channel inhibitors on BP0104-induced contraction in PAs/PVs and on control PVs. A) PAs: () BP0104 (100 nM) (n = 5); (•) BP0104 (100 nM), iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 5); (•) BP0104 (100 nM), glibenclamide (10 μ M) (n = 5); (•) BP0104 (100 nM), 4-aminopyridine (5 mM) (n = 6) B) PVs: () BP0104 (1 nM) (n = 5); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 5); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), glibenclamide (10 μ M) (n = 6); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), 4-aminopyridine (5 mM) (n = 6); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), 4-aminopyridine (5 mM) (n = 3); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), 4-aminopyridine (5 mM) (n = 3); (•) iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 3); (•) glibenclamide (10 μ M) (n = 3); (•) BP0104 (1 nM), n = 6). The dashed line indicates the end of pre-treatment. Time course measurements were analysed by a linear mixed model. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g002

Measurements and Imaging

The kinetics of all used agents was studied. According to the results, PCLS were exposed 5 minutes to each concentration of the vasodilators (isoproterenol, levosimendan, forskolin or levcromakalim). If pre-constriction was required, they were pre-treated 1 h with the endothelin_A-(ET_A)-receptor agonist BP0104. If a signaling Table 2. Influence of various agonists and inhibitors on the initial vessel area of pulmonary vessel

				p-values	
agents (1 h pre-treatment)	PA mean (%)	n	SEM	vs control	vs BP0104
BP0104 100 nM	62.1	19	3.1	<0.001	
BP0104 100 nM+glibenclamide 10 μM	68	11	6.1	<0.001	ns
BP0104 100 nM+iberiotoxin 100 nM	63.7	10	4.6	<0.001	ns
BP0104 100 nM +4-aminopyridine 5 mM	62.8	10	9.3	<0.001	ns
glibenclamide	99.4	6	1.6	ns	
beriotoxin	98.4	7	2.4	ns	
4-aminopyridine (5 mM)	102	5	1.6	ns	
BP0104 100 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM)	69.9	9	3	<0.001	ns
BP0104 100 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM)	65.6	8	2.2	<0.001	ns
L-NAME (100 µM)	97.8	10	0.5	ns	
BP0104 100 nM+L-NAME (100 μM)	47.6	13	4.5	<0.001	<0.05
BP0104 100 nM+ODQ (1 μM)	48.7	5	7	<0.001	ns
BP0104 100 nM+KT 5823 (2 μM)	66.2	8	5.3	<0.001	ns
agents (1 h pre-treatment)	PV mean (%)	n	SEM	p-values	
				vs control	ve BB0104
				vs control	V3 DF0104
control	99.1	11	0.3		V3 BF0104
control BP0104 1 nM	99.1 62.5	11 11	0.3 5.3	<0.001	
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2	11 11 16	0.3 5.3 5.2	<0.001 <0.001	ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7	11 11 16 8	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001	ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9	11 11 16 8 11	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100	11 11 16 8 11 9	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns	ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4	11 11 16 8 11 9 10	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns	ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001	ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001	ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.01 <0.001	ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 µM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 µM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 µM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 µM) SQ 22536 (100 µM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 9 10 8	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.01 <0.01 ns s	ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) KT 5720 (1 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 9 10 8 8 11	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns ns ns	ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) KT 5720 (1 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720+ KT 5823	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 8 8 11 8	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns ns s	ns ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) KT 5720 (1 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720+ KT 5823 L-NAME (100 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9 78.4	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 8 11 8 26	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8 4.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns ns <0.001 <0.001 ns s ns <0.001	ns ns ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720+ KT 5823 L-NAME (100 μM) ODQ (1 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9 78.4 77.7	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 8 11 8 26 5	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8 4.2 6.3	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	ns ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) KT 5720 (1 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720+ KT 5823 L-NAME (100 μM) ODQ (1 μM) KT 5823 (2 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9 78.4 77.7 93.8	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 8 11 8 26 5 12	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8 4.2 6.3 1.5	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.001 ns <0.001 <0.001 0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	ns ns ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) KT 5720 (1 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720+ KT 5823 L-NAME (100 μM) ODQ (1 μM) KT 5823 (2 μM) BP0104 1 nM+L-NAME (100 μM)	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9 78.4 77.7 93.8 59.2	11 11 16 8 11 9 10 10 9 10 8 11 8 11 8 26 5 12 8	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8 4.2 6.3 1.5 7.1	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 ns ns <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	ns ns ns ns ns ns ns
control BP0104 1 nM BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+glibenclamide (10 μM) BP0104 1 nM+iberiotoxin (100 nM) BP0104 1 nM +4-aminopyridine (5 mM) glibenclamide 10 μM iberiotoxin 100 nM 4-aminopyridine 5 mM BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+SQ 22536 (100 μM) BP0104 1 nM+KT 5720 (1 μM) SQ 22536 (100 μM) SQ 226 (1 μM) SQ 22	99.1 62.5 64.2 71.7 67.9 100 95.4 89.8 65.1 53.4 99.8 97.8 64.9 78.4 77.7 93.8 59.2 67.6	11 16 8 11 9 10 9 10 9 10 8 26 5 12 8 5 12 8 5	0.3 5.3 5.2 8.3 5.2 2.2 2.7 2.2 9 6.2 2.5 2.4 6.8 4.2 6.3 1.5 7.1 7	 <0.001 	ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns

The contractile effect of various pre-treatment procedures is indicated after a pre-treatment period of 1 h, prior to the application of levosimendan. Statistics was conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test. All p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons by the FDR. P < 0.05 are considered as significant:

p<0.01 and. *p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.t002

pathway was inhibited, PCLS were pre-treated 1 h with the specific inhibitor. If both were required, PCLS were exposed simultaneously to both. Before the measurements, the initial vessel area (IVA) was defined as 100% and any relaxant or contractile effect (BP0104 or inhibitors) was indicated as "Change [% of IVA]". To compare relaxation of pre-treated vessels, the vessel area was defined after pre-treatment again as 100%. Hence, a vessel area <100% indicates a contractile effect and a vessel area >100% indicates a relaxant effect (Fig. 1C). Concentration-

response curves of the vasodilators were performed and the effects were indicated again as "Change [% of IVA]". In addition, all pre-treatment procedures were indicated in the graphs. We further studied whether PCLS differ in their vascular response dependent on the time-point of drug exposure. We did not find any differences; hence we performed our experiments with PCLS on day one and two after preparation. Prior to the experiments, the reactivity of PAs and PVs was tested in different, but comparable slices by the contractile effect of 1 μM epinephrine (PAs) and by

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.01 and



Figure 3. Impact of Rho-Kinase inhibition on the tone of PVs and on the relaxant effect of levosimendan. A) Fasudil (100 μ M) affects the tone of PVs. **B)** The relaxant potency of levosimendan after pre-treatment with fasudil: (\blacksquare) levo (n=6); (\square) fasudil (100 μ M), levo (n=5); (\square) fasudil (100 μ M), levo (n=5); (\square) fasudil (100 μ M) (n=4); **A**) Statistics was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. **B**) Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g003

the relaxant effect of 1 μ M isoproterenol (PVs). Control experiments were performed on consecutive sections. Pulmonary vessels were imaged and digitised by a digital video camera (Leica Viscam 1280 or Leica DFC 280). The images were analysed with Optimas 6.5 (Media Cybernetics, Bothell, WA).

Agents and Culture Medium

All agents were bought from Tocris Bioscience (Ellisville, Missouri, USA), except levosimendan and N-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) which were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) and BP0104 which was from BIO-TRENDS (Wangen, Switzerland). The cAMP/cGMP/NO-kits were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Lörrach, Germany). All inhibitors are listed (Table 1).

Statistics

Statistics was conducted using SAS software 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad, La Jolla, USA). The data in Fig. 1A and 2 A, B and C were analysed using a linear mixed model analysis (LMM) with variance components (VC) for the covariance matrix; EC₅₀ values were calculated by the standard 4-paramter logistic non-linear regression model. The AIC-criterion was used to select the most parsimonious model, i.e. a common bottom, top, slope and EC₅₀ value in the regression model or the covariance matrix with the least number of parameters. Non-parametric analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. All p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons by the false discovery rate (FDR) and presented as mean+SEM or - SEM. P<0.05 was considered as significant and (n) indicates the numbers of animals.

Results

We studied the relaxant effects of the inodilator levosimendan (levo) in control (not pre-constricted) and pre-constricted pulmonary vessels and compared it to the β -receptor agonist isoproterenol.

Pre-constriction

PAs and PVs were pre-constricted with various concentrations of the ET_A -receptor agonist BP0104 to identify concentrations that elicit a comparable degree of contraction after 60 minutes. Stable contractions of 62% of IVA were obtained by using 100 nM BP0104 in PAs and 1 nM BP0104 in PVs (Fig. 1A, Table 2).

Effects of Levosimendan

Levosimendan relaxed control PVs, but had no effect on control PAs (Fig. 1C). However, it prevented epinephrine-induced contractions in control PAs (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, if preconstricted with BP0104, levosimendan relaxed both PAs and PVs (Fig. 1D). In all three situations – control veins, preconstricted PVs and PAs – levosimendan had the same EC₅₀-value (5 μ M) and Hill slope (0.62). To compare the relaxant potency of levosimendan to a well-known relaxant, we treated PAs and PVs with the β -receptor agonist isoproterenol. Like levosimendan, isoproterenol relaxed naïve PVs (EC₅₀:0.26 μ M), but not control PAs (Fig. 1E). Isoproterenol relaxed control and pre-constricted PVs stronger than levosimendan (p<0.001), whereas pre-constricted PAs were relaxed similarly (1F).

Pulmonary Venous Resting Tone

Obviously, PAs relax to levosimendan and isoproterenol only if pre-constricted; in contrast PVs relax independent from preconstriction, suggesting that PVs express a certain pulmonary venous resting tone. Inhibition of NO/cGMP/PKG-signaling (Table 2) and K_v-channels (Table 2, Fig. 2C) increase the pulmonary venous tone, whereas inhibition of cAMP/PKAsignaling (Table 2) does not. To uncover possible mechanisms behind this resting tone, PVs were pre-treated with the Rho-Kinase inhibitor fasudil (100 μ M) and thereafter exposed to increasing concentration of levosimendan. Fasudil decreased the tone of PVs and increased the vessel area to 113% of IVA (Fig. 3A). Further, PVs pre-treated with fasudil only relaxed attenuated to levosimendan compared to control PVs (Fig. 3B).

Role of K⁺-channels in Levosimendan-induced Vasorelaxation

To study the role of K⁺-channels in the levosimendan-induced relaxation, the K_{ATP} -channel inhibitor glibenclamide (10 μ M), the BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channel inhibitor iberiotoxin (100 nM) and the K_v -channel inhibitor 4-aminopyridine (5 mM) were used. **Preconstricted PAs and PVs**: In the absence of levosimendan, none of these inhibitors altered the BP0104-induced contraction



Figure 4. Relaxant effects of levosimendan (levo) in PAs and PVs after K+-channel inhibition. A) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\bullet) glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 3); B) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\bullet) iberiotoxin (100 nM), levo (n = 6); (\bigcirc) glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 3); B) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\bullet) iberiotoxin (100 nM), levo (n = 10); (\bigcirc) iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 3); C) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\bullet) 4-AP (5 mM), levo (n = 6); (\bigcirc) 4-AP (5 mM) (n = 6); D) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 7); (\bullet] glibenclamide (10 µM), levo (n = 5); C) glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 5); E) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 7); (\bullet] iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 3); F) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 7); (\bullet] glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 3); F) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 7); (\bullet] glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 4); (\bullet) 4-AP (5 mM), levo (n = 4); (\bullet) 4-AP (5 mM) (n = 4); G) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 11); (\bullet] glibenclamide (10 µM), levo (n = 6); (\bullet) glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 4); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 11); (\bullet] iberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 3); I) PVs: (\bullet) levo (n = 11); (\bullet] diberiotoxin (100 nM) (n = 3); I) PVs: (\bullet) levo (n = 11); (\bullet 4-AP (5 mM), levo (n = 5); (\bullet) 4-AP (5 mM) (n = 4). A-B/E-H) Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. C-D) Each corresponding concentration of (\bullet) and (\bigcirc) was compared by the Mann-Whitney U test. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g004

(Fig. 2A/B; Table 2). **Not pre-constricted PVs**: In the absence of levosimendan, glibenclamide and iberiotoxin did not affect the vascular tone, whereas 4-aminopyridine time-dependently contracted PVs up to 70% after 4 h, but not PAs (Fig. 2C; Table 2). In **pre-constricted PAs**, the levosimendan-induced relaxation was reduced by glibenclamide and by iberiotoxin (Fig. 4A/B). Further, in **pre-constricted PAs**, 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) showed a small but steady contraction that was partially inhibited by levosimendan (Fig. 4C). In **pre-constricted and non-pre-constricted PVs**, the levosimendan-induced relaxation was reduced by glibenclamide (Fig. 4D/G) but neither by iberiotoxin (Fig. 4E/H) nor by 4-aminopyridine (Fig. 4F/I).

Role of cAMP/cGMP and NO in Levosimendan-induced Relaxation

In isolated PAs and PVs, levosimendan increased intracellular cAMP/cGMP (Fig. 5A/B), whereas NO was not elevated (Fig. 5C). Remarkably, basal NO-levels were higher in the veins.

In PCLS, the role of cAMP was addressed by using the adenyl cyclase-inhibitor SQ 22536 (100 μ M) and the protein kinase A (PKA)-inhibitor KT 5720 (1 μ M). **Pre-constricted PAs:** SQ 22536 and KT 5720 did not affect BP0104-induced contraction (Table 2), but attenuated levosimendan-induced relaxation (Fig. 5D/G). **Pre-constricted and non-pre-constricted PVs:** In both, SQ 22536 and KT 5720 altered neither the BP0104-induced contraction nor the basal tone (Table 2). SQ 22536 reduced the levosimendan-induced relaxation in pre-



Figure 5. Influence of levosimendan (levo) on cAMP/cGMP and NO-signaling in PAs and PVs. A) Effect of levo on cAMP. **B)** Effect of levo on cGMP. **C)** Effect of levo on NO. **D) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\bullet) SQ 22536 (100 µM), levo (n = 6); (\bigcirc) SQ 22526 (100 µM) (n = 4); **E) PV (1 nM BP0104):** (\blacksquare) levo (n = 7); (\square) SQ 22536 (100 µM), levo (n = 4); (\square) SQ 22536 (100 µM) (n = 3); **F) PV:** (\blacksquare) levo (n = 11); (\square SQ 22536 (100 µM), levo (n = 5); (\square) SQ 22536 (100 µM), levo (n = 9); (\bullet) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 7); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), kT 5823 (2 µM), levo (n = 3); **I**) **PV:** (\blacksquare) levo (n = 11); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\square) KT 5720 (1 µM), levo (n = 6); **A-C**) Statistics was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. **D-I**) Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g005

constricted and non-pre-constricted PVs (Fig. 5E/F). In contrast, KT 5720 only attenuated levosimendan-induced relaxation in control PVs (Fig. 5I), whereas pre-constricted PVs relaxed even stronger (Fig. 5H). Additional treatment with the protein kinase G (PKG)-inhibitor KT 5823 (2 μ M) decreased this effect (Fig. 5H).

The significance of cAMP/PKA-signaling for pulmonary vascular relaxation and the potency of adenyl cyclase to relax pulmonary vessels were further studied by the adenyl cyclase activator forskolin. Forskolin relaxed control PVs (Fig. 6A) and pre-constricted PAs/PVs (Fig. 6B), whereas control PAs did not react (Fig. 6A). Of note, pre-constricted PVs relaxed with greater

sensitivity to forskolin than pre-constricted PAs with EC_{50} -values of 0.86 μ M and 3.5 μ M respectively.

To examine the role of NO, we utilized the NO-synthaseinhibitor L-NAME (100 μ M), the guanylyl cyclase-inhibitor ODQ (1 μ M) and KT 5823 (2 μ M). **PA**: L-NAME did not affect control PAs, but enhanced the contractile effect of BP0104, whereas ODQ and KT 5823 were without effect (Table 2). In pre-constricted PAs, L-NAME provoked a steady contraction that was partially inhibited by levosimendan (Fig. 7A). ODQ did not influence the relaxant effect of levosimendan (Fig. 7B), whereas, KT 5823 reduced it (Fig 7C). **PV**: L-NAME, ODQ and KT 5823 increased the vascular tone (Table 2), but did not affect the BP0104-induced



Figure 6. Effect of the adenyl cyclase activator forskolin on PAs and PVs. A) Forskolin in control PAs/PVs: (**O**) PA (n = 3); (**T**) PV (n = 5); **B)** Forskolin in pre-constricted PAs/PVs: (**O**) PA (n = 5); (**T**) PV (n = 5). Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g006

contraction (Table 2). Further, L-NAME and ODQ did not alter the relaxant effect of levosimendan independent from the presence (Fig. 7D/E) or the absence of BP0104 (Fig. 7G/H). In contrast, KT 5823 reduced the relaxant effect of levosimendan in preconstricted and non-pre-constricted PVs (Fig. 7F/I).

Interaction of K^+ -channels and cAMP/cGMP on the Tone of PAs and PVs

Apparently, K⁺-channels and cAMP/cGMP contribute to the levosimendan-induced relaxation. To study a possible interaction between K⁺-channels and cAMP/cGMP, pre-constricted vessels were relaxed with the K_{ATP} -channel activator levcromakalim (levcrom) and with the BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channel activator BMS. BMS only slightly relaxed PAs (108%) and had no effect in PVs (not shown). In contrast, levcromakalim relaxed PAs and PVs comparable to 124% and 122% of IVA, respectively. The following experiments with levcromakalim were only done in PAs, as levcromakalim caused an unspecific relaxation in PVs that was not blocked by glibenclamide (data not shown). In addition, the relaxant effects of BMS were too weak for further investigation.

In PAs, levcromakalim (100 μ M) increased cAMP-levels dependent on K_{ATP}-channel activation, (Fig. 8A), whereas cGMP-levels remained unchanged (Fig. 8B). The levcromakaliminduced relaxation was strongly attenuated by inhibition of adenyl cyclase (SQ 22536), PKA (KT 5720) (Fig. 8C), PKG (KT 5823) (Fig. 8D) and K_{ATP}-channel-inhibition (glibenclamide) (Fig. 8E). Further, levcromakalim relaxed PAs pre-treated with BP0104 and L-NAME comparable to levosimendan (Fig. 8F).

Discussion

Levosimendan is used to treat acute heart failure and secondary PH, clinical conditions where relaxation of pulmonary vessels is considered beneficial. Here we demonstrate that levosimendan relaxes PAs and PVs primarily via activation of K_{ATP} -channels and the elevation of cAMP and cGMP. In addition, BK_{Ca}^{2+} and K_v -channels appear to be involved in PAs, but not in PVs, demonstrating that the particular mechanisms by which levosimendan acts differ between PAs and PVs.

The Model

The relaxant effects of levosimendan were studied in the *in vitro* model of PCLS, which is increasingly being used to investigate pulmonary vascular pharmacology.

PCLS offer several possibilities: 1) PCLS allow studying exclusively PAs and PVs, independent of ventricular contractility and volume load. 2) PCLS enable to study PAs and PVs at the same time in the same slice. 3) Contractions in PCLS are auxotonic as *in vivo*, thus the model of PCLS represents a valuable extension to isotonic or isometric studies that are mostly done in isolated vessels. 4) PCLS can be prepared from various species, including humans and allow thereby an interspecies comparison.

Here, the levosimendan-induced relaxation was studied in normal, but not in diseased pulmonary vessels. However, because ET_A -receptors are up-regulated in PH [22], we tried to imitate this condition by pre-constriction with BP0104 and in some experiments also by blockade of NO, another characteristic of PH [23]. The differential arterial and venous responses to BP0104 confirm the heterogeneity of the pulmonary vasculature and are in line with findings in porcine vessels [24] and are consistent with own unpublished data in human pulmonary vessels.

Role of K⁺-channels in Levosimendan-induced Vasorelaxation

In vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), K⁺-channels can become activated either directly, e.g. by levcromakalim, or an interaction of various stimuli, including ROS, hypoxia, Ca²⁺, cAMP/PKA, NO/cGMP/PKG and ATP. Activation of K⁺channels hyperpolarises the cell membrane and inhibits the cytosolic Ca²⁺-influx via voltage-operated Ca²⁺-channels (VOCC) [25]. Low cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels prevent the activation of myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) [26] and promote relaxation (Fig. 9A).

Here we have studied the three major types of K⁺-channels, namely KATP-, BKCa²⁺- and Kv-channels. Among them, KATPchannels play the most important role in mediating the pulmonary vascular effects of levosimendan (Fig. 9B/C), whereas BK_{Ca}²⁺- and K_v-channels did contribute only in pre-constricted PAs (Fig. 9B). This fact is notably, as KATP-channel-inhibition (glibenclamide) alone already completely prevented levosimendan-induced relaxation and allows two assumptions 1) glibenclamide blocks aside K_{ATP} -channels also BK_{Ca}^{2+} - and K_v -channels; 2) the role of K_{ATP} channels is dominant and the impact of BK_{Ca}^{2+} and K_v -channels less, that the soley inhibition of KATP-channels might be sufficient to prevent the relaxant properties of levosimendan. With regard to assumption 1) it might be refused, as glibenclamide does not inhibit BK_{Ca}²⁺-or K_v-channels [26]. Concerning assumption 2) the inferior role of BK_{Ca}²⁺-channels in levosimendan-induced relaxation is supported by the observation that activation of BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channels by the BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channel opener BMS only slightly relaxed PAs. In contrast, the KATP-channel opener levcromakalim exerted a pronounced relaxant effect in PAs (124%). However, from our experiments; we still would expect a slight relaxant effect of levosimendan in PAs despite KATP-channel inhibition. Finally, we cannot solve the precise contribution of BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channels to levosimendan-induced relaxation. In principle, levosimendan-induced activation of BK_{Ca}²⁺-channels has been reported for porcine coronary arteries [9] and for human thoracic arteries [10]. Hence, it is also conceivable for large PAs, which are well-known densely equipped with BK_{Ca}^{2+} -channels [27]. With regard to the contribution of K_v -channels to levosimendan-induced relaxation, we did not study the impact of K_v-channel activation in pulmonary vascular relaxation, as no suitable activators are available.

In PVs, K_v -channel-inhibition did not influence the relaxant effect of levosimendan, but raised the tone of control PVs illustrating their role in the regulation of the pulmonary venous tone [28]. The missing effects of 4-aminopyridine on the BP0104-induced contraction might be due to the activation of protein



Figure 7. Influence of inhibition of NO/cGMP/PKG-signaling on the relaxant effect of levosimendan (levo). A) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 9); (\odot) L-NAME (100 µM), levo (n = 9); (\odot) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 7); B) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\odot) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 9); (\odot) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 7); B) PA (100 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\odot) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\odot) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\odot) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 7); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 6); E) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 6); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 6); E) PV (1 nM BP0104): (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), levo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 5); H) PV: (\bullet) levo (n = 5); (\Box) ODQ (1 µM), nevo (n = 3); (\Box) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 6); A) Corresponding concentrations were compared by the Mann-Whitney U test. B–I) Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g007

kinase C, which in turn inhibits K_v -channels [29]. Clearly, PAs and PVs differ in the regulation of their tone and in the role of the individual K^+ -channels in response to levosimendan.

Relevance of cAMP/PKA-signaling on Levosimendaninduced Vasorelaxation

Cyclic AMP – via activation of PKA – can relax smooth muscle by increasing myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP)-activity [30], by blocking MLCK [31] and by stimulating K⁺-channels [26] (Fig. 9A). In line with data from coronary arteries [32], we have found that levosimendan increases cAMP in PAs/PVs. The functional relevance of this cAMP-increase was shown by the observation that inhibition of adenyl cyclase or PKA reduced the levosimendan-induced relaxation in PAs/PVs as well as by the relaxant effects of forskolin. Somewhat unexpected, PKA-inhibition had no effect in PVs though, a finding that might be explained by the role of other relaxant mediators such as cGMP/PKG or by the existence of side-effects from the activation of ET_A -receptors by BP0104 such as an excess of PKA [33,34] or of PKG [35]. It seems also possible that PKA and PKG interact in a non-linear fashion, which would be consistent with the observation that simultaneous inhibition of PKA and PKG did largely attenuate the levosimendan-induced relaxation. Taken together, our findings demonstrate that the cAMP-PKA axis contributes to the levosimendan-induced relaxation and suggest that levosimendan elevates cAMP either by inhibiting relevant PDE-isoenzymes at



Figure 8. Impact of cAMP/PKA/PKG on K+-channels. A) Effect of levcromakalim (levcrom) and glibenclamide on cAMP. **B**) Effect of levcrom on cGMP. **C) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) levcrom (n = 6); (\Box) SQ 22536, levcrom (n = 6); (\bullet) KT 5720, levcrom (n = 6); (\Box) SQ 22536 (100 µM) (n = 4); (\bigcirc) KT 5720 (1 µM) (n = 6); **D) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) levcrom (n = 6); (\bullet) KT 5823 (2 µM), levcrom (n = 4); (\bigcirc) KT 5823 (1 µM) (n = 4); **E) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) levcrom (n = 6); (\bullet) levcrom (n = 4); (\bigcirc) KT 5823 (1 µM) (n = 4); **E) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) levcrom (n = 7); (\bigcirc) glibenclamide (10 µM), levcrom (n = 3); (\bigcirc) glibenclamide (10 µM) (n = 3); **F) PA (100 nM BP0104):** (\bullet) L-NAME (100 µM), lev (n = 9); (\bullet) L-NAME (100 µM), levcrom (n = 7); (\bigcirc) L-NAME (100 µM) (n = 7); **A/B**) Statistics was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. **C**) Asterics indicate different EC₅₀ values. **F)** Corresponding concentrations were compared by the Mann-Whitney U test. P<0.05 are considered as significant: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g008

 $\leq 1 \mu M$ or by an unknown mechanism that is dependent on K_{ATP}channels (see below).

NO/cGMP/PKG-signaling in Levosimendan-induced Vasorelaxation

The NO/cGMP/PKG-pathway plays a dominant role in VSMC relaxation (Fig. 9A). PKG promotes Ca^{2+} -desensitation via MLCP-activation [31] and stimulates K⁺-channels.

Levosimendan failed to increase NO-levels in pulmonary vessels (Fig. 5C); and in line L-NAME and ODQ also failed to attenuate the levosimendan-induced vasodilation (Fig. 7). Interestingly,

levosimendan increased cGMP in PVs and PAs despite any effect on NO-synthesis. Further inhibition of PKG by KT 5823 attenuated the relaxant effect of levosimendan. Hence the origin of cGMP might be explained by a cross-talk between the cAMP/ PKA- and the NO/cGMP/PKG-pathway in VSMCs which exists on various levels [31,36,37]. Our data are supported by studies in coronary vessels that were relaxed by levosimendan and that showed slightly increased cGMP-levels [38] despite the lack of endothelium and thus eNOS-synthase [32]. The functional role of cGMP in pulmonary vessels was clearly shown by the finding that



Figure 9. Regulation of vascular smooth muscle cells and the involvement of levosimendan. A) Myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) and myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP) regulate vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs). High cytosolic Ca²⁺-levels activate MLCK which phosphorylates myosin light chains (Myosin-p) and thereby enhances VSMC contraction. In contrast, MLCP dephosphorylates Myosin-p and promotes relaxation. MLCP is highly activated by the protein kinase G (PKG) and protein kinase A (PKA). PKA and PKG stimulate K⁺-channels, leading to membrane hyperpolarisation, reduced Ca²⁺-influx via voltage-operated Ca²⁺-channels (VOCC) and reduced cytosolic Ca²⁺. Activation of K_{ATP}-channels leads to the production of cAMP, probably by the stimulation of adenyl cyclase (AC). This illustration is modified from Yokoshiki et al. [13]. **B**) Signaling pathways which interact with levosimendan in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells (GP). **C**) Signaling pathways which interact with doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066195.g009

PKG-inhibition attenuated the levosimendan-induced vasodilation in PAs and PVs (Fig. 7C/F/I).

The Relative Importance of K⁺-channels, cAMP/PKA/PKG for Levosimendan-induced Relaxation

We have demonstrated that the levosimendan-induced relaxation of pulmonary vessels is mainly based on K_{ATP} -channelactivation and cAMP/cGMP-production. These findings raise the question whether K_{ATP} -channels and cAMP/cGMP act additive or in a sequential manner. We therefore studied the effects of the K_{ATP} -channel opener levcromakalim on vessel tone and cyclic nucleotides (Fig. 8). Surprisingly, levcromakalim increased cAMP and that response was ablated by glibenclamide, indicating that activation of K_{ATP} -channels may somehow stimulate cAMPproduction. This is a novel observation that requires further study. The relevance of this phenomenon is demonstrated by the finding that inhibition of adenyl cyclase and PKA blocked the relaxant effect of levcromakalim showing that the activation of K_{ATP} channels relaxes PAs via cAMP and PKA. Notably, inhibition of PKG also blocked the relaxant effect of levcromakalim, although levcromakalim did not affect cGMP-levels, an observation which might be explained by cAMP-dependent activation of PKG [39].

Differential behaviour of PAs and PVs

Recently we showed that PAs and PVs respond differently to β receptor stimulation [16]. Here we report further differences between PAs and PVs e.g. control PVs relaxed to levosimendan, isoproterenol or forskolin, whereas control PAs did not. Further, levosimendan increased cAMP stronger in PVs (87%) than in PAs (39%). Since basal cAMP-levels were comparable, this may indicate that PVs contain more PDE (probably PDE-III) than PAs. Further, the increase of cAMP/cGMP in control PAs may at least in part explain why levosimendan prevents the contractile effect of epinephrine in PAs. In addition to the differences in cAMP/PKA-signaling, NO-levels were higher in PVs than in PAs (Fig. 5) and in line with this, NOS inhibition did contract PVs only (Table 2). These findings suggest the particular importance of NO- signaling in PVs corroborating previous findings in human PVs [40] and porcine pulmonary vessels [41].

The differential relaxant behaviour of control PVs and PAs proposes that control PVs exhibit a certain resting tone. From our results with fasudil we conclude that Ca^{2+} -sensitization contributes to maintain this resting tone, whereas NO and K_v-channels may counteract this response. Obviously, cAMP-providing agents such as levosimendan, isoproterenol or forskolin, but also NO [16] might overcome this resting tone and relax PVs. Based on these data and considerations, levosimendan appears to oppose the resting tone by cAMP/cGMP, which finally all counteract Ca^{2+} -sensitization [30,31].

In view of a certain resting tone, we need to consider mechanical forces which may generate such a resting tone. In PCLS, the tone of PAs, PVs and airways is influenced by the surrounding tissue, whereas the load of the surrounding tissue is primarily determined by the agarose filled in the lung [42]. PAs, PVs and airways lie always in the center of the slices, therefore the load of the embedding tissue should affect PAs, PVs and airways similarly and the tethering forces should be comparable. Therefore it appears unlikely that a certain resting tone of PVs depends on the slice preparation.

This study in guinea pigs demonstrates that levosimendan relaxes large PAs and PVs up to 119%. Prima facie, the relaxant effect of levosimendan appears to be marginal. However taken into consideration the Hagen-Poiseuille law, the flow resistance increases 16 fold, if the radius divides in half. Finally, the presented vascular effects of levosimendan are sufficient pronounced to be relevant for pulmonary vascular resistance. These experiments were performed in central pulmonary vessels which primarily do not contribute to pulmonary vascular resistance; from

References

- Landoni G, Biondi-Zoccai G, Greco M, Greco T, Bignami E et al. (2012) Effects of levosimendan on mortality and hospitalization. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies*. Crit Care Med 40: 634–646.
- Forrest P (2009) Anaesthesia and right ventricular failure. Anaesth Intensive Care 37: 370–385.
- De Witt BJ, Ibrahim IN, Bayer E, Fields AM, Richards TA et al. (2002) An analysis of responses to levosimendan in the pulmonary vascular bed of the cat. Anesth Analg 94: 1427–33.
- Kleber FX, Bollmann T, Borst MM, Costard-Jackle A, Ewert R et al. (2009) Repetitive dosing of intravenous levosimendan improves pulmonary hemodynamics in patients with pulmonary hypertension: results of a pilot study. J Clin Pharmacol 49: 109–115.
- Leather HA, Ver EK, Segers P, Herijgers P, Vandermeersch E et al. (2003) Effects of levosimendan on right ventricular function and ventriculovascular coupling in open chest pigs. Crit Care Med 31: 2339–2343.
- Poelzl G, Zwick RH, Grander W, Metzler B, Jonetzko P et al. (2008) Safety and effectiveness of levosimendan in patients with predominant right heart failure. Herz 33: 368–373.
- Cavusoglu Y, Beyaztas A, Birdane A, Ata N (2009) Levosimendan is not effective in reducing pulmonary pressures in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension: report of two cases. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown) 10: 503–507.
- Bowman P, Haikala H, Paul RJ (1999) Levosimendan, a calcium sensitizer in cardiac muscle, induces relaxation in coronary smooth muscle through calcium desensitization. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 288: 316–325.
- Pataricza J, Krassoi I, Hohn J, Kun A, Papp JG (2003) Functional role of potassium channels in the vasodilating mechanism of levosimendan in porcine isolated coronary artery. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 17: 115–121.
- Usta C, Eksert B, Golbasi I, Bigat Z, Ozdem SS (2006) The role of potassium channels in the vasodilatory effect of levosimendan in human internal thoracic arteries. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 30: 329–332.
- Yildiz O, Seyrek M, Yildirim V, Demirkilic U, Nacitarhan C (2006) Potassium channel-related relaxation by levosimendan in the human internal mammary artery. Ann Thorac Surg 81: 1715–1719.
- Yildiz O (2007) Vasodilating mechanisms of levosimendan: involvement of K+ channels. J Pharmacol Sci 104: 1–5.
- Yokoshiki H, Sperelakis N (2003) Vasodilating mechanisms of levosimendan. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 17: 111–113.
- Evans A, Hardie D, Peers C, Mahmoud A (2011) Hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction: mechanisms of oxygen-sensing. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 24: 13–20.

own preliminary human data we know that levosimendan also relaxes small human pulmonary vessels potently which definitely contribute to pulmonary vascular resistance. In this study, the required concentrations for levosimendan-induced relaxation were 1 μ M in pre-constricted PAs/PVs and 320 nM in non-pre-constricted PVs. In patients, plasma concentrations of 850 nM levosimendan are reached [43], indicating that the present findings may be clinically relevant.

In conclusion, this study shows that levosimendan relaxes PAs and PVs by different mechanisms. Clinically, this suggests the use of levosimendan in the therapy of increased right ventricular afterload due to right heart failure. In left heart failure, the pulmonary venous relaxant effects of levosimendan might act synergistic to its well-known positive inotropic effects, as reduced hydrostatic pressures alleviate lung edema, left ventricular volume overload and secondary PH. If the pulmonary relaxant effects of levosimendan could be proven in PA and PVs from a PH-disease model, levosimendan might become of potential interest in the therapy of PH and pulmonary veno-occlusive disease.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Hanna Czajkowska for excellent technical assistance and the facility for immunohistochemistry of the IZKF Aachen for performance of histology.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AR RR SU CM. Performed the experiments: AR EV NM. Analyzed the data: AR RR EV NM SU CM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AR EV NM CM. Wrote the paper: AR RR EV SU CM.

- Kuebler WM, Yang Y, Samapati R, Uhlig S (2010) Vascular barrier regulation by PAF, ceramide, caveolae, and NO - an intricate signaling network with discrepant effects in the pulmonary and systemic vasculature. Cell Physiol Biochem 26: 29–40.
- Rieg AD, Rossaint R, Uhlig S, Martin C (2011) Cardiovascular agents affect the tone of pulmonary arteries and veins in precision-cut lung slices. PLoS ONE 6: e29698. 10.1371/journal.pone.0029698 [doi]; PONE-D-11-15270 [pii].
- Shi W, Wang C, Dandurand R, Eidelman D, Michel R (1998) Differential responses of pulmonary arteries and veins to histamine and 5-HT in lung explants of guinea-pigs. Br J Pharmacol 123: 1525–1532.
- Gao Y, Raj J (2005) Role of veins in regulation of pulmonary circulation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 288: L213-L226.
- Ressmeyer A, Larsson A, Vollmer E, Dahlen S, Uhlig S et al. (2006) Characterisation of guinea pig precision-cut lung slices: comparison with human tissues. Eur Respir J 28: 603–611.
- Schleputz M, Rieg AD, Seehase S, Spillner J, Perez-Bouza A et al. (2012) Neurally Mediated Airway Constriction in Human and Other Species: A Comparative Study Using Precision-Cut Lung Slices (PCLS). PLoS ONE 7: e47344. 10.1371/journal.pone.0047344 [doi]; PONE-D-12-11366 [pii].
- Martin C, Uhlig S, Ullrich V (1996) Videomicroscopy of methacholine-induced contraction of individual airways in precision-cut lung slices. Eur Respir J 9: 2479–2487.
- Schneider MP, Boesen EI, Pollock DM (2007) Contrasting actions of endothelin ET(A) and ET(B) receptors in cardiovascular disease. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 47: 731–759.
- Christman BW, McPherson CD, Newman JH, King GA, Bernard GR et al. (1992) An imbalance between the excretion of thromboxane and prostacyclin metabolites in pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 327: 70–75.
- Rossi P, Persson B, Boels PJ, Arner A, Weitzberg E et al. (2008) Endotoxemic pulmonary hypertension is largely mediated by endothelin-induced venous constriction. Intensive Care Med 34: 873–880. 10.1007/s00134-007-0980-9 [doi].
- Nelson MT, Quayle JM (1995) Physiological roles and properties of potassium channels in arterial smooth muscle. Am J Physiol 268: C799–C822.
- Ko EA, Han J, Jung ID, Park WS (2008) Physiological roles of K+ channels in vascular smooth muscle cells. J Smooth Muscle Res 44: 65–81.
- Bonnet S, Archer SL (2007) Potassium channel diversity in the pulmonary arteries and pulmonary veins: implications for regulation of the pulmonary vasculature in health and during pulmonary hypertension. Pharmacol Ther 115: 56–69. S0163-7258(07)00076-9 [pii];10.1016/j.pharmthera.2007.03.014 [doi].

- Michelakis ED, Weir EK, Wu X, Nsair A, Waite R et al. (2001) Potassium channels regulate tone in rat pulmonary veins. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 280: L1138–L1147.
- Park WS, Firth AL, Han J, Ko EA (2010) Patho-, physiological roles of voltagedependent K+ channels in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. J Smooth Muscle Res 46: 89–105.
- Lubomirov LT, Schubert R, Gagov HS, Duridanova DB, Pfitzer G (2006) Urocortin decreases phosphorylation of MYPT1 and increases the myosin phosphatase activity via elevation of the intracellular level of cAMP. Biofizika 51: 773–780.
- Morgado M, Cairrao E, Santos-Silva AJ, Verde I (2012) Cyclic nucleotidedependent relaxation pathways in vascular smooth muscle. Cell Mol Life Sci 69: 247–266.
- Gruhn N, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Theilgaard S, Bang L, Olesen SP et al. (1998) Coronary vasorelaxant effect of levosimendan, a new inodilator with calciumsensitizing properties. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 31: 741–749.
- Chen QW, Edvinsson L, Xu CB (2009) Role of ERK/MAPK in endothelin receptor signaling in human aortic smooth muscle cells. BMC Cell Biol 10: 52.
- Chong TJ, Sadjadi J, Curran B, Victorino GP (2007) Endothelin-1 reduces mesenteric microvascular hydraulic permeability via cyclic AMP and protein kinase A signal transduction. Peptides 28: 2036–2041.
- Hou Y, Lascola J, Dulin NO, Ye RD, Browning DD (2003) Activation of cGMPdependent protein kinase by protein kinase C. J Biol Chem 278: 16706–16712.
- Kostic TS, Tomic M, Andric SA, Stojilkovic SS (2002) Calcium-independent and cAMP-dependent modulation of soluble guanylyl cyclase activity by G protein-coupled receptors in pituitary cells. J Biol Chem 277: 16412–16418.

- Pelligrino DA, Wang Q (1998) Cyclic nucleotide crosstalk and the regulation of cerebral vasodilation. Prog Neurobiol 56: 1–18.
- Revermann M, Schloss M, Mieth A, Babelova A, Schroder K et al. (2011) Levosimendan attenuates pulmonary vascular remodeling. Intensive Care Med 37: 1368–1377.
- Jiang H, Colbran JL, Francis SH, Corbin JD (1992) Direct evidence for crossactivation of cGMP-dependent protein kinase by cAMP in pig coronary arteries. J Biol Chem 267: 1015–1019.
- Norel X, Walch L, Gascard J, deMontpreville V, Brink C (2004) Prostacyclin release and receptor activation: differential control of human pulmonary venous and arterial tone. Br J Pharmacol 142: 788–796.
- Bina S, Hart JL, Sei Y, Muldoon SM (1998) Factors contributing to differences in the regulation of cGMP in isolated porcine pulmonary vessels. Eur J Pharmacol 351: 253–260. S0014–2999(98)00307–0 [pii].
- Dandurand RJ, Wang CG, Phillips NC, Eidelman DH (1993) Responsiveness of individual airways to methacholine in adult rat lung explants. J Appl Physiol 75: 364–372.
- Nijhawan N, Nicolosi AC, Montgomery MW, Aggarwal A, Pagel PS et al. (1999) Levosimendan enhances cardiac performance after cardiopulmonary bypass: a prospective, randomized placebo-controlled trial. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 34: 219–228.
- Hourani SM, Boon K, Fooks HM, Prentice DJ (2001) Role of cyclic nucleotides in vasodilations of the rat thoracic aorta induced by adenosine analogues. Br J Pharmacol 133: 833–840.